# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# ROLE OF FINE NEEDLE ASPIRATION CYTOLOGY IN PALPABLE BREAST LESIONS AND ITS CORRELATION WITH HISTOPATHOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS

Bhaskar Thakkar<sup>1</sup>, Malay Parekh<sup>2</sup>, N J Trivedi<sup>3</sup>, A S Agnihotri<sup>3</sup>, Uravashi Mangar<sup>4</sup>

Authors' Affiliation: <sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, G.M.E.R.S. Medical College And Hospital, Gandhinagar; <sup>2</sup>Resident Doctor, C U Shah Medical College and Hospital, Surendranagar; <sup>3</sup>Professor, C.U. Shah Medical College And Hospital, Surendranagar; <sup>4</sup>Tutour, Gmers Medical College And Hospital, Gandhinagar Correspondence: Dr. Bhaskar Thakkar, Email: drbhaskar\_9@yahoo.com

# ABSTRACT

**Background**: Breast carcinoma is the common malignant lesion in women. Fine needle aspiration cytology has high sensitivity and specificity and is simple, rapid and safe method to diagnose breast lesions.

**Materials and methods:** A retrospective study was done in department of pathology of C.U.SHAH medical college and hospital of Surendranagar from January 2010 to July 2012. Total 120 FNAC of palpable breast lump was done in pathology department of C.U. SHAH medical college and hospital and correlate it with histopathological findings. All cases are categorized according to risk for cancer into unsatisfactory sample, benign proliferative breast disease without atypia, Benign proliferative disease with atypia, Inflammatory breast disease, suspicious for malignancy and malignant lesions.

**Results**: Out of 120 cases, 65 cases were benign, 32 malignant, 2 suspicious and 16 were inflammatory breast and 4 were unsatisfactory lesions. Cytological and histopathological correlation found in 114 cases (95.83%) out of 120 cases. Fibroadenoma is the most common benign breast lesion noted in 21-30 years age group, while ductal carcinoma was commonest malignant lesion noted in 41-50 years of age group. The sensitivity and specificity of FNAC were 97.05% and 98.78%

**Conclusion**: Fine needle aspiration cytology of palpable breast lesions is an effective modality for diagnosis of most of the malignant and benign lesions. Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology is highly sensitive and specific technique for diagnosis of most of the malignant and benign breast lesions.

Keywords: Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology, Breast Lump, Fibroadenoma, Ductal Carcinoma

# INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is second most common cancer in the women in india.(1) Palpable Breast lump is the most common presentation in the most of the breast diseases. Increase in cases of breast cancer is related to late marriage, birth of child in later age, shorter period of breast feeding and multiparity or low parity.(2) Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) of breast lump can be effectively used as a diagnostic tool in diagnosis and management of breast lump on an outpatient basis as hospitalization of patient is not necessary.

Fine needle aspiration cytology of breast is an important of the triple assessment of palpable breast lump(clinical examination, imaging(mammography

Volume 4 Issue 4 Oct – Dec 2014

or ultrasonography) and FNAC.(3) It has been shown than the FNAC can reduce the number of open biopsies.(4) The most common sign and symptom of breast disease is a palpable mass although breast diseases can also present as inflammatory lesion, nipple secretion or imaging abnormalities.(5)

# **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of this study was to assess utility of FNAC as the initial diagnostic tool in patients with breast lump and to categorize various breast lesions presented in our institute by FNAC and correlate it with histopathological findings.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective study of 120 cases of breast lump was done in department of pathology of C. U. Shah medical college and hospital of surendranagar from January 2010 to July 2012. Out of 120 breast lump cases of FNAC, 114 cases received for histopathological correlation.

After careful clinical examination of the breast mass for its presence, consistency and any signs suspicious of malignancy, patient was placed in comfortable position for FNAC and explained the procedure.

FNAC procedure done with 22-23 gauze needle. The aspiration and non-aspiration technique with minimum 3-4 passes used to minimize haemorrhage. The samples were placed on a glass slide and smears were made by inverting second glass slide over the drop and as it spreads, pulling the slides apart horizontally or vertically. Smears were either immediately fixed or air dried while the surface till wet with 70-90% ethyl alcohol.

Routinely at least 3 fixed smears were subjected to H & E and remaining air-dry smears were subjected to giemsa stain. The smears were screened under low and high magnification and diagnosis was made as:

- 1) Unsatisfactory
- 2)Benign proliferative breast lesions without atypia
- 3) Benign proliferative breast lesions with atypia
- 4)Inflammatory braest lesions
- 5)Suspicious for malignancy
- 6) Malignant breast lesions.

Written consent was taken before the procedure from each patient.

### RESULTS

All the 120 patients underwent a diagnostic FNAC in our pathology department following which 114 cases underwent a definitive surgical procedure after admission to hospital. All excised specimens obtained were subjected to histopathology. The FNAC reports were correlated with the final histopathology report and statistical tests were used to interpret the results. The observation and results of our study were tabulated and analysed as below area,

| Table 1: Age a | and Sex Distribution | n of subjects |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------|
|----------------|----------------------|---------------|

| Age (yrs) | Males (%) | Females (%)   |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| 11-20     | 1 (25)    | 11 (9.48)     |
| 21-30     | 2 (50)    | 34 ( 2 (9.31) |
| 31-40     | 0 (0)     | 28 (24.13)    |
| 41-50     | 0 (0)     | 26 (22.41)    |
| 51-60     | 0 (0)     | 09 (7.75)     |
| 61-70     | 1 (25)    | 05 (4.31)     |
| 71-80     | 0 (0)     | 01 (0.86)     |
| 81-90     | 0 (0)     | 02 (1.72)     |
| Total     | 4 (100)   | 116 (100)     |

### Table no. 2: Cytological diagnosis

| Cytological diagnosis     | Cases (%)  |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Unsatisfactory            | 04 (3.33)  |
| Benign without atypia     | 61 (50.83) |
| Benign with atpia         | 5 (4.16)   |
| Inflammatory              | 16 (13.33) |
| Suspicious for malignancy | 2 (1.66)   |
| Malignant                 | 32 (26.66) |
| Total                     | 120 (100)  |

### Table 3: Classification of cytological diagnosis

| Cytological<br>Diagnosis | Cases | Fibroadenoma | Sclerosing ade-<br>nosis | Tubular adeno-<br>ma | IDC | ILC | DCIS | Mucinous Ca | Medularry Ca | Lactating ade-<br>noma | Gynaecomastia | Fibrocystic<br>braest Disease | Granulomatous<br>Mastitis | CNIT |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Benign                   | 63    | 56           | 00                       | 1                    | 00  | 00  | 00   | -00         | 00           | 1                      | -00           | 4                             | 00                        | 1    |
| Malignant                | 32    | 00           | 00                       | 00                   | 29  | 1   | 1    | 00          | 1            | 00                     | 00            | 00                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Suspicious               | 2     | 00           | 01                       | 00                   | 1   | 00  | 00   | 00          | 00           | 00                     | 00            | 00                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Inflammatory             | 14    | 0            | 00                       | 00                   | 00  | 00  | 00   | 00          | 00           | 00                     | 00            | 00                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Fibrocystic              | 2     | 00           | 00                       | 00                   | 00  | 00  | 00   | 00          | 00           | 00                     | 00            | 02                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Galactocoele             | 1     | 00           | 00                       | 00                   | 00  | 00  | 00   | 00          | 00           | 00                     | 00            | 00                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Gynaecomastia            | 2     | 00           | 00                       | 00                   | 00  | 00  | 00   | 00          | 00           | 00                     | 02            | 00                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Non specific             | 4     | 00           | 00                       | 00                   | 00  | 00  | 00   | 00          | 00           | 00                     | 00            | 00                            | 00                        | 00   |
| Total                    | 120   | 61           | 01                       | 01                   | 26  | 01  | 02   | 01          | 01           | 01                     | 02            | 06                            | 01                        | 01   |

\* Chronic Nonspecific inflammatory tissue

In present study male contribute 4 cases (3.33%) and female contribute 116 cases (96.66%). Male to female ratio in the study was 1:20. In the present study maximum no of cases was females. Amongst female, maximum cases were noted in 3<sup>rd</sup> (21-30 years) decade (35 cases) were in reproductive age group.(29.16%) Amongst all cases, 65 cases (54.16%) were benign, malignancy was noted in 32 cases(26.66%), suspicious for malignancy in 2 cases(13.33%). Fibroadenoma was the commonest benign diagnosis.

Among benign and malignant lesions cytological diagnosis and histopathological diagnosis were consistent in 100% cases. The lesions which were diagnosed as suspicious for malignant on cytology one case were found malignant on histopathology sections and one case found benign on histopathological sections. In remaining inflammatory cases, consistency was found in 100% cases. Thus in present study, cytological findings were consistent with histopathology in 116 cases out of 120 cases (98.27%) and inconsistent in 2 cases (1.72%).

In present study out of 63 smears diagnosed as benign on cytology showed 56 fibroadenoma, 4 as Jfibrocystic disease, 1 as lactating adenoma and 1 as tubular adenoma and 1 as chronic nonspecific lesion.

Total 32 cases were diagnosed as malignant on cytology which on histology showed inflammatory duct carcinoma in 28 cases, 2 as infilterating lobular carcinoma and 1 as medullary carcinoma and 1 as intraductal carcinoma. Suspicious for malignancy was made in 2 smears out of which 1 diagnosed as infilterating ductal carcinoma and 1 as sclerosing adenosis. In remaining cases, tuberculous mastitis diagnosed in 4 cases on cytology and were confirmed on histology.

print ISSN: 2249 4995 eISSN: 2277 8810

Table 4: Comparison of Cytological Diagnosis

| Cytology diagnosis   | Cases Histopathology diagno |             |              |  |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
|                      |                             | Consistent  | Inconsistent |  |  |
| Benign               | 65                          | 65(100)     | 0(00%)       |  |  |
| Malignant            | 32                          | 32(100%)    | 0(00%)       |  |  |
| Suspicious of malig- | 02                          | 0(00%)      | 2(100%)      |  |  |
| nancy                |                             |             |              |  |  |
| Other                | 16                          | 16(100%)    | (00%)        |  |  |
| Total                | 116                         | 114(98.27%) | 2(1.72%)     |  |  |
|                      |                             |             |              |  |  |

Table 5: Statistical analysis of Cross tabulation of histopathology and cytology

| Histopathology | Cyte     | Total     |          |
|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|
|                | Positive | Negative  |          |
| Positive       | 33(0.97) | 1 (0.03)  | 34 (100) |
| Negative       | 1 (0.01) | 81 (0.99) | 82 (100) |

True Positive (TP): 33; True Negative (TN): 81 False Negative (FN): 1, False Positive (FP):1 Sensitivity = TP/(TP+FN)X100= 97.05%. Specificity = TN (TN+FP) X 100 = 98.78%. Positive Predictive Value = TPX100/(TP+FP) =97.05%. Negative Predictive Value = TN X 100 /(TN + FN) =98.78%.

Efficiency= (TP + TN) X 100)/(TP+FP+FN+TN) = 98.27%.

# DISCUSSION

Fine needle aspiration cytology of breast lump is worldwide accepted and established method of choice to determine the nature of breast lump.

Fibroadenoma was the commonest benign lesion in our study which was concurrent with the findings of Debra (1995) et al (16) and invasive duct carcinoma was the commonest malignant lesion which was similar to findings of study done by Quasim (2009) et al (19).

| Table 6: | Compa | arison o | f cytol | ogical | diagnosis | with | other | studies |
|----------|-------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|------|-------|---------|
|          | 1     |          | 2       |        |           |      |       |         |

| Author                     | Malignant   | Suspicious For | Benign       | Inadequate  | Other       | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
|                            |             | Malignancy     |              | smear       |             |       |
| Debra et al(1983)          | 131(7.79%)  | 300(8.92%)     | 1019(60.65%) | 230(13.69%) | 0           | 1680  |
| Feither G et al(1995)      | 181(12.3%)  | 49(3.3%)       | 1003(68.1%)  | 239(26.6%)  | 0           | 1472  |
| Premila De SR et al (1997) | 92(15.33%)  | 15(2.50%)      | 486(81.0%)   | 7(1.16%)    | 0           | 600   |
| Kuldeep Singh(2001)        | 35(14.58%)  | 5(2.08%)       | 200(83.33%)  | 0           | 0           | 240   |
| Quasim et al(2009)         | 32(27.58%)  | 0              | 68(58.62%)   | 16(13.79%)  | 0           | 116   |
| Sajid(2010)                | 58(47.5%)   | 0              | 64(52.5%)    | 0           | 0           | 122   |
| Bukhari et al(2010)        | 120(28.23%) | 32(7.52%)      | 271(63.76%)  | 0           | 2(0.47 %)   | 425   |
| Shreshta et al(2011)       | 152(10.83%) | 175(12.47%)    | 618(11.97%)  | 27(1.92%)   | 431         | 1403  |
| Tohuiddin(2011)            | 72(13.74%)  | 17(3.24%)      | 431(82.25%)  | 3 (0.57%)   | 4(0.76 %)   | 524   |
| Present study              | 32(26.66%)  | 2(1.66%)       | 65(55.00%)   | 4(3.33%)    | 16(13.33 %) | 120   |

In the present study percentage of malignant cases was 26.66% on cytology; more or less similar to findings to quasim et at (2009) (27.58%). This percentage was more than Debra et al (1983), Feitcher G et al (1995), Premila de SR st al (1997), Kuldeep singh(2001) et al, Shrestha et al (2011) and Tohuiddin et al (2011) and was less than Sajid H(2010) and Quasim et at (2009).

On cytology percentage of benign, in the present study was 55%(65 cases), this was similar to Quasim et at (2009). The percentage was more as compared to sajid et al (2010), shreshtha et at (2011). The percentage was less as compared to Debra et at (1983), Feither Get al (1995), Premila De SR et at (1997), kuldeep singh(2001) et al and Bukhari et al (2011) and Neha et al (2013). Amongst other non-neoplastic lesions 16 cases were observed in the present study. Findings are less than shrestha et al, M Amirjkachi et at (2001) has found to 10 cases of gynecomastia on FNAC. Anuradha Joshi et al (1999) has noted 70 cases of carcinoma and 295 cases of benign breast lesions amongst males.

In present study 1 case was diagnosed as gyenecomastia, 2 cases were benign and one case diagnosed as malignant among males. Diagnostic accuracy for gynecomastia, benign and malignant breast lesion in male was 100%. Park IA et at (1997) has observed that the success of cytodiagnosis was varied according to histological subtypes. FNAC tend to be inadequate and false negative in case of duct carcinoma of schirrous subtype. The main cause for inadequate smears are may be due to lack of technical experience in performing FNA preparation. Bukhari et al (2010) noted that FNA of illdefined masses like lesions or lesions with hyalinization and deeply situated lumps may also be contributed to the inconclusive diagnosis.

In the present study, we noted one false negative case in cystic breast lesion where cellularity is also low and we considered it in suspicious for malignant lesion category which turns out to be malignant on histopathological diagnosis. Criteria for adequacy during cytology reporting if cut off to 6 epithelial cell clusters reduces the false negative rates by approximately 50%. False positive diagnosis is always interpretation errors.

Common false positive lesions include some fibroadenomas with myoepithelial hyperplasia, complex sclerosing lesion, radial scar, sclerosing adenosis. Sclerosing adenosis was misdiagnosed as suspicious for malignancy on cytology smears as false positive case in our study. Epithelial aggregates in smears may show an obivious micro-acinar pattern giving rise to similar finding like tubular carcinoma. Apocrine metaplasia occurring in areas adenosis can look extremely worrying and may be the cause of false positive diagnosis.

| Table 7: Comparison of Accuracy of FNAC |  |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| Author                     | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV*   | Efficiency | NPV#   |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Kline TS et at (1979)      | 89.5%       | 92.5%       | 85.33% | 91.63%     | -      |
| Francisco D et at (1995)   | 93.49%      | 95.73%      | 93.49% | 98.75%     | 95.73% |
| Feichter et at (1997)      | 86%         | 99.3%       | 99.3%  | 93%        | 85%    |
| Premila De SR et at (1997) | 93.8%       | 98.21%      | 92.70% | 97.40%     | -      |
| Zhang Qin(2004)            | 97.1%       | 97.3%       | -      | 9.2%       | -      |
| Arjun Singh et al (2011)   | 84.6%       | 100%        | -      | 92.3%      | -      |
| Khemka A et at (2011)      | 96%         | 100%        | 100%   | -          | 95.12% |
| Bukhari et at (2011)       | 98%         | 100%        | 97%    | 98%        | 100%   |
| Present study              | 97.05%      | 98.78%      | 97.05% | 98.27%     | 98.78% |

\*Positive predictive value; #Negative predictive value

In the present study sensitivity was high as compared to Kline TS et al (1979), GE Feitcher et al (1997) and Arjun Singh et al (2011). Specificity in present study was similar to Premila De SR et at (1997) and it was higher than Kline TS (1979), Francisco Dominez et al (1997) and Zhang Qin(2004). The positive predictive value of present study was similar to Bukhari et al (2011) and was higher than Francisco Dominez et at (1997) and Premila De SR et at (1997) and Kline TS et at (1979). The negative predictive value was higher than Feitcher et at (1997), Francisco Dominez et at (1997). Efficiency was higher than all the series mentioned above. Thus in present given study the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and efficiency of malignant cases is 97.05%, 98.78%, 97.05% and 98.78%. respectively. The high specificity and predictive value of positive results allow for the early diagnosis, treatment and management of breast cancer.

#### **CONCLUTION:**

The FNAC of breast is safe, easy, reliable, rapid, economical and highly accurate method for diagnosis of breast lump preoperatively. FNAC of breast lump should be used as preliminary investigation in outdoor patient department. High specificity and a high negative predictive value for malignancy suggests that FNAC differentiates between benign and malignant lesions very well preoperatively, so reduce patients's anxiety and also helps surgeon to plan the surgical management.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Sandhu DS, Sandhu S, Karwasra RK, Marwah S: Profile of breast cancer patients at a tertiary care hospital in north India. Indian J Cancer; 2010; 47: 16-22.
- K.A.Deshpande ,B.M.Bharambe, A.P. Ajmera: Diagnostic utility of aspiration biopsy of the breast lesions. Cibtech Journal of Bio-Protocols 2012 vol.1(2) September-December; pp.14-21.
- Touhid uddin Rupom, Tamanna Choudhury, Sultana Gulshana: Study of fine Neddle aspiration cytology of cytologically malignant cases with their histological findings. BSMMU J 2011; 4(2):60-64.
- 4. Hindle WH, Payne PA, Pan Ey. The use of fna in the evaluation of persistent palpable dominant breast masees. Am J Obstetrics Gynaecol 1993; 168(6 part 1): 1814-8.
- Kline TS and Neal HS. Role of needle aspiration biopsy in diagnosis of carcinoma of breast. Obstetrics and Gynecology 1975;46:89-92.
- Cochrane RA, Singhal H, Monypenny IJ, Webster DJ, Lyons K, Mansel RE: Evaluation of general practitionar referral to a specialist breast clinic according to the U K national guidelines. Eur. J Surg Oncol;1997; 23: 198-201.
- 7. Martin HE, Ellis EB: Biopsy by needle puncture and aspiration. Ann Surg; 1930; 92: 169-81.
- Rimm DL, Stastny JF, Rimm EB, Ayer S, Frable WJ: Comparison of the costs of fine needle aspiration and open surgical biopsy as methods for obtaining a pathologic diagnosis. Cancer; 1997; 81: 51-56.
- Silverman JF, Lannin DR, O'Brien K, Norris HT: The triage role of fine needle aspiration biopsy of palpable breast masses. Diagnostic accuracy and cost effectiveness. Acta Cytol; 1987; 31: 731-736.
- 10. Bojia F, Demisse M, Dejane A, Bizuneh T: Comparison of fine-needle aspiration cytology and excisional biopsy of breast lesions. East Afr Med J; 2001; 78: 226-228.
- Phillips G, McGuire L, Clowes D: The value of ultra sound guidance fine needle aspiration in the assessment of solid breast lumps. Australas Radiol; 1994; 38: 187-92.
- Bibbo M, Scheiber M, Cajulis R, Keebler CM, Wied GL, Dowlatshahi K: Stereotaxic fine needle aspiration cytology of clinically occult malignant and premalignant breast lesions. Acta Cytol; 1988; 32: 193-201.
- 13. Sarfati MR, Fox KA, Warneke JA, Fajardo LL, Hunter GC, Rappaport WD: Stereotactic fine needle aspiration

cytology of non [palpable breast lesions; an analysis of 258 consecutive aspirates. Am J Surg; 1994; 168: 529-31.

- Ku NN, Mela NJ, Fiorica JV, et al: Role of fine needle aspiration cytology after lumpectomy. Acta Cytol; 1994; 38: 927-32.
- Bhagat P, Kline TS: The male breast and malignant neoplasms; diagnosis by aspiration biopsy cytology. Cancer; 1990; 65: 2338-41.
- Dey P, Karmakar T: Fine needle aspiration cytology of accessory axillary breast and their lesions. Acta Cytol; 1994; 38: 915-6.
- Green B, Dowley A, Turnbull LS, Smith PA, Leinster SJ, Winstanley JH: Impact of fine needle aspiration cytology, ultrasonography and mammography on open biopsy rate in patients with beningn breast disease. Br J Surg; 1995; 82: 1509-1511.
- 18. Leopold G Koss: Diagnostic cytology and its histologic bases. 4th edition 1992; 2:1293-97.
- 19. Dacies JV, Lewis SM: Practical hematology. 6th edition; edinburg: Curchil Livingtone, 1984.
- 20. Debra AB, Hajdu SI, Urban JA, Gaston JP. Role of aspiration cytology in diagnosis and management of mammary lesions in office practice.Cancer.1983; 7:1182-1189.
- Mohammad Q, Akbar SA, Ali J and Mustafa S: Lump in breast; Role of FNAC in diagnosis. Professional Med J.Jun2009;16(2):235-238.
- 22. Bukhari M.H, Arshad M, Jamal S, Niazi S, Bashir S, Bakshi I et al: Use of Fine-Needle Aspiration in the Evaluation of Breast Lumps. Pathology research International.2011.
- Rocha PS, Nadkarni NS and Menez S. Fine needle aspiration biopsy of breast lesion and histopathologic correlation. Acta Cytologica.1997; 41.
- 24. Feichter GE, Haberthur F, Gobat S, Dalquen P .Statistical analysis and cytohistologic correlations. Acta Cytologica. 1973;17:188-190.
- Singh K, Sharma S, Dubey VK, Sharma PR.Role of FNAC in diagnosis of breast lumps. JK Science.2001 July-Sept;3(3):126-128.
- 22. Shrestha A, Chalise S, Karki S and Shakya G. Fine needle aspiration cytology in a palpable breast lesion. Journal of Pathology of Nepal. 2011; 1:131-135.
- 23. Rupom T, Choudhary T. Study of Fine Needle Aspiration cytology of breast Lump of Breast Lump: Correlation of Cytologically Malignant Cases with Their Histological Findings. BSMMU J.2011; 4(2):p60-64.
- Alhelfy SH.The Value of Fine Needle Aspiration cytology (FNAC) in diagnosis of Palpable Solid Breast Masses. The N Iraqi J Med.2010 Dec;6 (3): 11-15.
- 25. Amrikachi M, Linda KG, Rone R, Ramzy I. Cytologic features and diagnostic pitfalls in fine needle aspirates. Acta Cytologica.2001; 45:948-952.
- Joshi A, Kapila K, Verma K. Fine needle aspiration cytology in the management of male breast masses. Acta Cytologica.1999 May-june; 43(2):334-338.
- 27. Park IA, and Ham EK. Fine needle aspiration cytology of palpable breast lesion; histologic subtypes in false negative cases. Acta Cytologica.1997; 41(4):1131-1137.

- 28. Helewa M, Levesque P and Provencher D. Breast Cancer, Pregnancy and Breastfeeding. Journal of obstretics and gynaecology of Canada. 2002; 111: 1-5.
- 29. Abele JS, Wagner LT and Miller TR. Fine needle aspiration of the breast: cell counts as an illusion of adequacy. Cancer cytopathology. Dec 1998; 84:321-323.
- Kline TS, Joshi LP, Hunter SN. Fine needle aspiration of the breast: diagnoses and pitfalls.Cancer.1979; 44:1458-1464.
- 31. Singh A, Haritwal A and Murali BM. Pattern Of breast Lumps and Diagnostic Accuracy Of Fine Needle Aspira-

tion Cytology; A Hospital Based Study from Pondicherry, India The internet Journal Of Pathology 2011;11(2):1-14.

- 32. Dominguez F, Riera JR, Tojo S and Junco P. Fine needle aspiration of breast masses, an analysis of 1398 patients in a community hospital. Acta cytological.1997; 41(2):341-347.
- Zhang Q, Shigui N, Yulsau C and Limes Z. Fine needle aspiration cytology of Breast Lesions: Analysis of 323 cases. The Chinese German Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2004 sept;3(3):p172-174.