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ABSTRACT

Background: The prostatic abscess is difficult to diagnose because in the initial days of it, prostatic abscess mimic several others diseases of the lower urinary tract. Objectives: The present study was done with an objective to discuss the pathogenesis, diagnostic methods and treatment of the prostatic abscess.

Materials and methods: The present study is the retrospective record based study of 30 patients diagnosed and treated for prostatic abscess. We assessed the age of the cases, associated disease and other symptoms and therapeutic methods.

Results: Mean age of the cases was 55.2 years. Transrectal ultrasound of the prostate confirmed the diagnosis of prostatic abscess in all 30 cases in which it was performed. All cases received antibiotic and other symptomatic treatment. Surgical intervention was required in 72% of the cases. 9 patients required perineal catheter drainage, 5 patients required transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), and one patient required both procedures. Mean hospitalization time was 11.2 days. The most frequent bacterial agent was \textit{S. aureus}. All patients were discharged from the hospital, and there was no death in this series.

Conclusions: Prostatic abscess should be treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics and surgical drainage (perineal puncture or TURP). Microabscess may heal without surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

The prostatic abscess is difficult to diagnose because in the initial days of it, prostatic abscess mimic several others diseases of the lower urinary tract. Prostatic abscess is very rarely diagnosed and it has suffered a great shift in its mortality rate, and in the types of etiologic agents observed since the discovery and use of penicillin¹. In the forties, mortality ranged from 6% to 30%, and major microorganism involved was Neisseria gonorrhea. More recent data suggests a mortality rate from 3% to 16%², enterobacteria being the most common agents. Among these, Escherichia coli has the highest prevalence, in about 70% of the cases³.

The present study was conducted with an objective to retrospectively analyze the clinical findings, diagnostic criteria and treatment results of patients diagnosed with prostatic abscess.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study is the retrospective record based study of 30 patients diagnosed and treated for prostatic abscess and treated in our facility. The data was collected from the medical records department and case files of the patient.

Age ranged from 39 to 69 years (mean 55.2). Seven had diabetes and one presented the prostatic abscess after a prostatic biopsy. All were treated with parenteral antibiotics. Surgical treatment was indicated in cases where there was no clinical improvement with antibiotic therapy, and after confirming the diagnosis of prostatic abscess. The criteria of hospital discharge were absence of fever for at least 48 hours, and leucogram normalization.

RESULTS

Symptoms consistent with prostatitis initiated the clinical context, and 7 patients (those with the largest abscess) progressed with urinary retention. Two patients presented previously lower urinary tract symptoms, but there was a worsening of the symptoms, suggesting acute prostatitis. Besides 4 patients with previous diseases altering the immune system, 2 also presented possible primary focuses of bacterial haematogenous dissemination and 4 case had a cutaneous injury 15 days before the symptoms' onset. One patient had history of urinary tract manipulation (transrectal ultrasound guided
biopsy of the prostate) and diabetes. In all 30 patients the diagnosis was confirmed by transrectal ultrasound. Three of the patients also performed a computed tomography that confirmed the presence of the abscess. All patients were treated with parenteral antibiotics during the hospital stay, with ciprofloxacin 400 mg IV bid, in some cases associated to amikacin 500 mg IV bid. Two patients also received metronidazole 500 mg IV qid. In 2 cases it was necessary to alter the antibiotic regimen to ceftriaxone due to absence of clinical improvement. Seventy two percent patients required adjuvant surgical treatment, and 5 were submitted to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), 9 to perineal pucture/drainage of the prostate, maintaining a silicone catheter for drainage, and 1 performed both procedures due to the peri-prostatic extension of the abscess. Four patients had extensions of the abscess to the space between the prostate and the rectum. The patient presenting concomitant perirenal abscess had the perirenal space drained by computed tomography-guided percutaneous route, with good outcome. Two patients presented micro-abscess and were treated exclusively with antibiotics, showing good results.

Table 1: Major symptoms and signs in patients presenting prostatic abscess

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms and Signs</th>
<th>Patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
<td>25 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>30 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysuria and frequency</td>
<td>27 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocytosis</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyturia</td>
<td>30 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluctuation area in the prostate</td>
<td>9 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful digital examination</td>
<td>30 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purulent urethral discharge</td>
<td>3 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous urinary symptoms</td>
<td>6 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic use before hospitalization</td>
<td>20 (66.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All patients had good outcomes, with no occurrence of sepsis or deaths. Mean hospital stay was 11.2 days, and patients were discharged with oral antibiotics prescribed until totaling at least 21 treatment days, if not presenting residual abscess in clinical examination or control transrectal ultrasound. In patients surgically treated, there was Staphylococcus aureus growing in the material collected from the abscess in 11 cases, Escherichia coli in 4 cases, Aeromonas aerophyla in 4 case, and Klebsiella pneumoniae in 3 case.

DISCUSSION

When not adequately treated, the prostatic abscess may progress to sepsis and death. Thus, an accurate diagnostic and an efficient treatment are both required. Most published data about prostatic abscess are case reports, and there is no standardization of the diagnostic and therapeutic routine. In review articles, the summary of several individual experiences permits delineating some lines of action in cases of prostatic abscess. Various factors have influenced the shift of the epidemiological profile of prostatic abscess, such as routine and widespread use of broad-spectrum antibiotics to patients with lower urinary tract symptoms, without the investigation required; better control of chronic diseases allowing an increase in population longevity; therapeutic advances such as dialysis, organ transplants, chemotherapy, and immunosuppressive drugs, promoting longer survival, but also exposing to the risks of immunosuppression.

Finding spontaneous abscess drainage to the urethra, and peritonitis, is sporadic today. It is thought that the retrograde flow of contaminated urine within the prostate during micturition is the most prevalent pathogenic factor. Some authors suggest that prostatic abscess is a complication of prostatic abscess is a complication of prostatic abscess is a complication of prostatic abscess. In this series, patients presented a mean age comparable to that found in literature, where the most common age group is between the fifth and the sixth decades. However, cases in diverse age groups were described, including neonates. A higher prevalence of S. aureus was observed, opposed to the findings in literature indicating E. coli as the most prevalent bacteria. Only for 2 patients we have found a clear explanation for this fact, with the primary foci in the skin (injections drug use and cutaneous abscess). Initially the disease manifests as dysuria, urgency, and frequency in 96% of the cases, fever in 30% to 72%, and urinary retention in 1/3 of the patients. We have observed a higher frequency of fever, urinary retention, dysuria and frequency in all patients (Table-1). There are reports of cases disclosed only at necropsy in children, and of 2 cases that did not present any symptom in a series of 269 cases. The most typical sign of prostatic abscess is fluctuation areas in the prostate by digital examination, although the results diverge between 16% and 88%. This finding was observed in 33.3% of our patients. However, all presented painful prostate at digital exam, and leucocytosis and leucocyturia as well. The diagnostic study of choice to assist the treatment and follow-up of patients with prostatic abscess is transrectal ultrasonography of the prostate. The most common finding is presence of one or more hypechohogenic areas, of several sizes, containing thick liquid primarily in the transition zone and in central zone of the prostate, permeated by hypechohogenic areas and distortion of the anatomy of the gland. In this series this finding was observed in 100% of the cases for which the examination was performed. Differential diagnosis should include prostatic cysts and neoplasia. Computed tomography adds few benefits to transrectal ultrasonography for the diagnosis of prostatic abscess.
Treatment implies in parenteral broad-spectrum antibiotic administration and abscess drainage. This may be performed by transrectal puncture or transperineal ultrasound-guided, digital-guided puncture/drainage by perineal route, transurethral incision of the prostate, TURP, or open perineal drainage. There is a preference for minimally invasive procedures that may be performed under local anesthesia or sedation, and repeated if necessary. All methods have safety and efficiency reports.

Sending material to culture (pus, urine, blood, and/or a fragment of the prostate) is important in identifying the etiologic agent, especially for immunosuppressed patients, considering that they usually present uncommon germs.

CONCLUSION
Lack of uniformity in antibiotics prescription occurs due to rareness of the disease, and there is no routine established for these cases. Most of the times the antibiotic was introduced by the on-call doctor in the emergency room. Hospital stay period was prolonged, and most patients needed surgical treatment, showing that this disease deserves hospital care. Prostatic abscess should be treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics and surgical drainage (perineal puncture or TURP). The diagnosis of prostatic abscess should be proposed for patients presenting fever and persistent irritative voiding symptoms despite antimicrobials use, for diabetics with protracted symptoms, for those with lower urinary tract symptoms and fever progressing to urinary retention, and after the performance of prostatic biopsy. Micro-abscess may heal without surgery.
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